

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/813,228	03/31/2004	Charles E. Benedict	14630	3874	
293 . 7590 09/21/2006			EXAMINER		
Ralph A. Dow	ell of DOWELL & DOV	ADAMS, GREGORY W			
2111 Eisenhowe	er Ave				
Suite 406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA 22314			3652		
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MAIL

SEP 2 2 2006

ALC: COUNTY

r		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment		10/813,	10/813,228 BENEDICT ET AL.		- .			
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit				
			W. Adams	3652				
Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	idress			
WHICH - Extension - Extension - If NO pe - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS OF THE MAN I	ALING DATE OF 1 of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. It ory period will apply and rill, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on 16 August 20	04.					
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ C	4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.							
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) <u></u> C	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)□ C	laim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election r	equirement.					
Application	Papers							
9)[] Th	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)∐ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or	b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
A	oplicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including t							
11)[_] Th	e oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	ΓO-152.			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation	•	` ,,					
* See	e the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the ce	rtified copies no	t received.				
	•							
Attachment(s								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 				(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
	o(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/813,228

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-13, drawn to an automated material handling and storage system, classified in class 414, subclass 143.2.
- II. Claims 14-17, drawn to a method for handling cargo containers within a ship, classified in class 414, subclass 803.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In the method could be used to relocated goods within a manufacturing facility such as along a production line.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,228

Art Unit: 3652

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Conclusion

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory W. Adams whose telephone number is (571) 272-8101. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th., 8:00-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,228

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GWA

PATRICK MACKEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY GENTER 8660